# PALOS

## **The Palos Perspective**

Issue No. 7 | February 14, 2025

A Macro Market View by Hubert Marleau

# As Always, It's About Inflation

#### The U.S. is not a Democracy: It's a Republic.

I ended last week's commentary with this note: "I hear from Wall Street that the flurry of rapid-fire statements and executive orders coming out of left field is becoming very challenging for the market. With everyday a "day of thunder," Trump is burning through his political capital at an alarming rate, not only with allies but with citizens, risking the long-term effectiveness of his Administration. How long Congress and the courts will permit him to challenge the constitutional system, effectively breaking down the idea of checks and balances, is moot; but this must eventually come to a head, especially if inflation revives. Interestingly, consumer sentiment dropped sharply in the early days of February to 67.8 from 71.1%, and was widespread, with Republicans, Independents and Democrats alike.

Briefly, Trump's actions are aggressive, but they are not tantamount to a "coup d'etat." He did, however, inherit Biden's inflation problem. If his announced tariffs of 25% on Canada and Mexico and 10% on China, combined with new 25% tariffs on steel and aluminum were enacted, the initial effect on prices would be bad news on inflation. This could become a killer, because the public's patience isn't infinite. The longer inflation stays stubborn, the more difficult politically it will become to implement them.

Trump's approval rating, meanwhile, is scarcely above 50% - a low starting point indeed for a new Presidency. History, too, shows that when inflation is high and rising, US presidents see a drop in their approval ratings.

#### Inflation in the Pipeline:

### CONTENTS

As Always, It's About Inflation Disclaimer & Contacts

To subscribe to our Newsletters, please send an email to

nfo@palos.ca with subject line "Newsletter"

# Palos

#### A Macro Market View by Hubert Marleau

The January CPI print was way hotter than the Feds had forecast. Not only have US consumer prices risen significantly more than expected as the cost of food and energy surged, but they have been on an upward path over the past 6 months. What's going on? Specifically, the headline consumer price index hit a 3.0% y/y increase, at a 3-month annualised rate of 4.9%, after showing a boiling increase of 0.5% in January alone. The core was even worse, registering an uncomfortable v/v augment of 3.3%. As a matter of fact, sticky services inflation became even stickier, as supercore CPI rose 0.75% m/m for an annual pace of 9.9%. The January pop in wholesale prices did not help the situation, either, rising 0.4% over the previous month to register a 3.5% y/y increase, beating expectations and registering the highest rate in 2 years. Unfortunately, the price for goods rose 0.8% in January also, and this could accelerate when tariffs start to take effect. Meanwhile, import prices rose 0.3% in January, the biggest rise in 9 months, despite a strong dollar, as a result of which, inflation traders are predicting that next year consumer inflation will run at an annual pace of 4.0%, about the same as the NY Fed and the University of Michigan are forecasting. In this connection, they are convinced that the Fed's policy rate will hold steady between 4.25% and 4.50% through the summer, giving good odds that there may not even be any rate cut this year. Indeed, there are signs that not only is growth strong, but it might be getting stronger. The New York Fed economic tracker has a GDP growth estimate of 3.0% for O1, casting doubt that the current Federal Funds Rate (FFR) is restrictive. (I would not fret, however, over the January 0.9% m/m decrease in retail sales, which had a lot to do with paying off credit card debt after huge holiday purchases, LA fires and severe winter weather.)

Given that 5-year US notes are presently yielding 4.48%, this suggests that the policy rate is neutral. Thus further rate cuts are not warranted, pushing the monetary authorities to play the long game, even though Trump tweeted that the Fed should have actually decreased interest rates. The Administration may want to jawbone the Fed into lowering its short-term target rate, but with little benefit, because long-term borrowing costs - a major determinant of economic planning - are set in the global bond market.

An embedded inflationary mindset seems to be taking hold, therefore, as progress has been stalled and concern over tariffs has mounted, which, if combined, could potentially lead to general A Macro Market View by Hubert Marleau

frustration. Indeed, the University of Michigan's latest gauge of consumer sentiment has just slumped to a 7-month low.

So what should investors expect when executive statements on the economy are false and the mix of official economic policies are irrational? These pronouncements can only make economic uncertainties more uncertain than they usually are. They aren't great for pricing, capital and hiring plans alike. In truth, lower interest rates and higher tariffs do not jive, contrary to Trump's stubborn dictum. In fact, according to the editorial board of the WSJ, it appears that the President does not understand the impact of the supply and cost of money on inflation. Yes, there is no theoretical value or empirical evidence that these two variances (lower rates and higher tariffs) can coexist properly; and financial markets know they don't go hand in hand. Instead, what would jive with the two would likely be, ceteris paribus, lower output and higher prices. In that regard, all this talk about more and more tariffs and less and less interest cost only raises the prospect of a stagflation-lite environment: a combination of stagnation and inflation.

On Wednesday, bond yields reacted severely; 10-year Treasury yields rose 11 bps to 4.65%, a level that could eventually choke the stock market rally, especially if the recent run-up in inflation ends up in screwing the idea that the U.S. is exceptional, thereby causing a reversal of fortune and turning America first into America alone. This would be a dream come true for the real enemies of America. Indeed, the big winners of a US-Allies rift would be China and Russia. Assuming that Trump is serious about reshaping global supply chains, then, the only hope for disinflation is lower oil prices, which are virtually unpredictable, given their volatility. Otherwise, the Trump political project will be in jeopardy from the outset.

#### The Stock Market Dodges Bullets:

The stock market has not flinched in the face of such outrage because it has kept firmly focused on business profitability. In fact, corporate earnings growth has kept on humming, only a few specific parts of the economy - like the auto and construction industries - being hit by higher interest rates. With 70% of the S&P 500 quarterly reports now in, Q4 earnings growth is estimated to have risen



A Macro Market View by Hubert Marleau

15.1% y/y, up from an estimate of 9.6% at the start of January. This is on track to be the highest since the end of 2021, because personal expenditure on goods and services, plus capital spending on AI infrastructure sectors remain strong. On Friday the S&P 500 closed at 6112, marking a weekly gain of 1.5%.

However, if Trump's policies on taxes, deportations and tariffs were pursued to their fullest, the inflationary impulse would be inescapable. I can already smell the rat. In this regard, a bearish equity trade would likely occur if an overcast of fragility events burst. Investors should therefore take note that even if inflation refuses to die, the best hedge over time is dividend-paying stocks: from Q1/1989 to Q4/2024, dividends rose 648%, almost 500% more than the CPI. This tells me that dips caused by algorithm-run quant traders should be bought by those seeking returns.

#### New Gold Dream:

Although many opine that Trump is more interested in securing political victories and public attention, one should not be without some protection. This is why Palos, for example, has upped its exposure in gold to 7% when rising odds of a Trump win looked unstoppable. Gold, whether physical or digital, offers insurance against a possible dawn of a new world monetary order based on commodities, triggered by the uncertainties of cost and chaos that global tariffs could bring (Think of the Scramble for Africa and the desire for natural resources). It follows that gold has made gaudy gains without the help of either lower interest rates or the exchange value of the dollar.

P.S. My Reiterated Recommendations to Make Canada Efficient Again are:

- 1) Decrease corporate taxes.
- 2) Increase depreciation allowances.
- 3) Eliminate business taxes.
- 4) Reduce regulations.

# Palos

#### A Macro Market View by Hubert Marleau

- 5) Build a pipeline east.
- 6) Incentivise science, engineering, mathematics and technology.
- 7) Attract immigrants.
- 8) Grant welfare benefits to those who do community services.
- 9) Eliminate interprovincial capital, trade and labour barriers.
- 10) Scrap the Carbon Tax.
- 11) Decrease tax on oil and gas.
- 12) Reduce government spending.
- 13) Stop protecting losers.
- 14) Increase trade deals and missions.
- 15) Abolish the digital service tax.

#### **The Palos Perspective**

Issue No. 7 | February 14, 2025

### **Disclaimer:**

This publication is proprietary to Palos Management Inc. (along with its affiliate Palos Wealth Management Inc., "Palos") and no part or its contents may be copied, downloaded, stored in a retrieval system, further transmitted, reproduced, disseminated, and/or transferred, in any form or by any means without permission from Palos. The views and opinions expressed herein are solely those of the author(s) and do not constitute investment advice, and they should not be relied upon on as such. The information has been compiled from sources believed to be reliable; however no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to its accuracy or completeness. The information is current as of the date of publication and is subject to change without notice.

This publication may contain certain forward-looking statements that are not guarantees of future performance and future results could be materially different. Commissions, trailing commissions, management fees and expenses all may be associated with mutual fund investments. Please read the offering documents before investing. The indicated rates of return are the historical annual compounded total returns including changes in unit value and reinvestment of all distributions and do not take into account sales, redemption, distribution or optional charges or income taxes payable by any unitholder that would have reduced returns. Mutual funds are not guaranteed, their values change frequently and past performance may not be repeated. Palos Funds are not available for non-Canadian residents.

Palos Funds and certain separately managed account advised by Palos may have investments in the securities discussed or referenced in this publication. These investments are made based on the funds and managed account's investment policies and may change over time as market conditions evolve.

L'infolettre Palos Perspective est un aperçu hebdomadaire de la situation macroéconomique disponible uniquement en anglais. Si vous avez des questions sur l'infolettre ou des demandes générales sur Palos, veuillez nous contacter à <u>info@palos.ca</u>